## APPENDIX A

## Pedestrian Report Card Assessment

1. Route 60, Main Street, and major roadways in the vicinity of Medford Square
2. Route 109, from Walpole town line to Interstate 95 in Westwood
3. Route 129, from Washington Street to Swampscott town line in Lynn

Route 60, Main Street, and Major Roadways in the Vicinity of Medford Square

## Performance Measure Scores

| Performance <br> Measure | Features | Goal | Weight | Unweighted <br> Score | Weighted <br> Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Sidewalk Presence | Sidewalks are present on all the <br> roadways | Capacity <br> Management and <br> Mobility | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Crossing <br> Opportunities | About 40 crosswalks in 2.4 miles $=16$ <br> crosswalks per mile | Capacity <br> Management and <br> Mobility | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Walkway Width | Most sidewalks are at least 5 feet <br> wide on both sides of the roadways | Capacity <br> Management and <br> Mobility | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian <br> Volumes | Estimated 60 or more pedestrians at <br> several intersections | Economic Vitality | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Adjacent Bicycle <br> Accommodations | None | Economic Vitality | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian <br> Crashes | One HSIP pedestrian cluster | Safety | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Average Vehicle <br> Travel Speeds | 35 mph | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehicle-Pedestrian <br> Buffer | Average about 5' buffers | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sidewalk Condition | Fair | System Preservation | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Transportation <br> Equity Factor | Two out of four factors (schools <br> nearby, large presence of senior <br> citizens) | N/A |  | 2 |  |

The weighted scores of all the performance measures within the same category are averaged and given a grade of poor, fair, or good based on the average weighted category score. The average weighted scores are classified as follows:

- Good - Score is 2.3 or more (maximum 3.0).
- Fair - Score is between 1.7 and 2.3.
- Poor - Score is 1.7 or less (maximum 0 ).


## Pedestrian Report Card Assessment

| Goal | Weight <br> Points | Weighted <br> Score | Final <br> Score | Rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity Management <br> and Mobility | 6 | 16 | 2.7 | Good |
| Economic Vitality | 2 | 4 | 2.0 | Fair |
| Safety | 5 | 5 | 1.0 | Poor |
| System Preservation | 1 | 2 | 2.0 | Fair |

Route 109 from Walpole town line to Interstate 95 in Westwood
Performance Measure Scores

| Performance Measure | Features | Goal | Weight | Unweighted Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalk Presence | Sidewalks are present on one side of the street at most locations and on both sides at some locations | Capacity <br> Management and Mobility | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| Crossing Opportunities | Total 12 crosswalks in 3.9 miles $=3.1$ crosswalks per mile | Capacity Management and Mobility | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Walkway Width | 4' wide sidewalks | Capacity Management and Mobility | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian Volumes | Estimated 60 or more pedestrians per hour in Downtown Westwood | Economic Vitality | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Adjacent Bicycle Accommodations | None | Economic Vitality | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian Crashes | No HSIP pedestrian clusters | Safety | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Average Vehicle Travel Speeds | 40 mph | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehicle-Pedestrian Buffer | 4' buffer | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sidewalk Condition | Sidewalks are not in fair condition in some sections | System Preservation | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Transportation Equity Factor | Two out of four factors (schools nearby, large presence of senior citizens) | N/A | N/A |  |  |

The weighted scores of all the performance measures within the same category are averaged and given a grade of poor, fair, or good based on the average weighted category score. The average weighted scores are classified as follows:

- Good - Score is 2.3 or more (maximum 3.0).
- Fair - Score is between 1.7 and 2.3.
- Poor - Score is 1.7 or less (maximum 0 ).


## Pedestrian Report Card Assessment

| Goal | Weight <br> Points | Weighted <br> Score | Final <br> Score | Rating |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity Management <br> and Mobility | 6 | 9 | 1.5 | Poor |
| Economic Vitality | 2 | 4 | 2.0 | Fair |
| Safety | 5 | 11 | 2.2 | Fair |
| System Preservation | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | Poor |

Route 129 from Washington Street to Swampscott town line in Lynn
Performance Measure Scores

| Performance Measure | Features | Goal | Weight | Unweighted Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalk Presence | Sidewalks are present on both sides of the street. | Capacity Management and Mobility | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Crossing Opportunities | Total 17 crosswalks in 1.2 miles = 14.2 crosswalks per mile | Capacity <br> Management and Mobility | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Walkway Width | 6 ' wide sidewalks | Capacity Management and Mobility | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Pedestrian Volumes | Estimated 60 or more pedestrians per hour in the area | Economic Vitality | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Adjacent Bicycle Accommodations | None | Economic Vitality | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian Crashes | One HSIP pedestrian cluster covering about a quarter of the corridor | Safety | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Average Vehicle Travel Speeds | 40 mph | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehicle-Pedestrian Buffer | 2' or less | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sidewalk Condition | Sidewalks generally are in good condition. | System Preservation | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Transportation Equity Factor | Four factors (schools nearby, Environmental Justice area, high presence of senior citizens, and large presence of careless households) | N/A | N/A |  |  |

The weighted scores of all the performance measures within the same category are averaged and given a grade of poor, fair, or good based on the average weighted category score. The average weighted scores are classified as follows:

- Good - Score is 2.3 or more (maximum 3.0).
- Fair - Score is between 1.7 and 2.3.
- Poor - Score is 1.7 or less (maximum 0 ).

Pedestrian Report Card Assessment

| Goal | Weight <br> Points | Weighted <br> Score | Final <br> Score | Rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity Management <br> and Mobility | 6 | 18 | 3.0 | Good |
| Economic Vitality | 2 | 4 | 2.0 | Fair |
| Safety | 5 | 5 | 1.0 | Poor |
| System Preservation | 1 | 3 | 3.0 | Good |

## APPENDIX B

## Support Letters



Stephanie Muccini Burke Mayor

## UTity af Alwatard

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

City Hall - Room 202
Medford, Massachusetts 02155
Telephone (781) 393-2408
FAX: (781) 393-2514
TDD: (781) 393-2516

September 26, 2017
Mark Abbott, Manager, Traffic Analysis and Design, Metropolitan Planning Organization, Central Transportation Planning Staff 10 Park Plaza, Suite 2150,
Boston, MA 02116
RE: Priority Roadways Study Program, Medford Square, City of Medford

Dear Mr. Abbott,
The City of Medford formally requests that the Central Transportation Planning Staff (CTPS) include Medford Square in the Priority Roadways Study Program (PRSP) undertaken for sub regional Safety and Mobility Improvements.

The City of Medford is currently concluding a master planning process for its Central Business District, Medford Square. This process has been conducted by the Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC), in partnership with City of Medford staff.

The Medford Square study area has been identified for comprehensive planning purposes. The study area is bounded by Columbia Road to the south, near the intersection of Main Street and Mystic Avenue, and Salem and High Streets to the north. The study area should also include the I-93 Salem Street rotary setting the eastern boundary and the intersection of Winthrop Street and Mystic Valley Parkway (State Route 16) setting the western boundary. MAPC has recommended that this area would greatly benefit from a comprehensive evaluation of traffic flow and patterns by CTPS.

The analysis and understanding of the circulation within this area is key to moving forward with the transportation component of the Medford Square Master Plan. I have discussed this matter with Secretary Pollack and she is in support of the study.

Medford is requesting the inclusion of this study area in the PRSP as we are interested in exploring approaches to increase the continuity of Medford Square's street grid with the goal of improving circulation and walkability in our downtown.

If you have any questions please contact Lauren DiLorenzo, Director of the Office of Community Development at (781) 393-2480 or Idilorenzo@medford-ma.gov.


Richard F. Caraviello
City Councillor

## Uity of taxdaril

OFFICE OF THE CITY COUNCIL

City Hall<br>85 George P. Hassett Drive<br>Medford, Massachusetts 02155

September 26, 2017
Mr. Mark Abbott, Manager
Traffic Analysis and Design
Metropolitan Planning Organization
Central Transportation Planning Staff
10 park Plaza, Suite 2150
Boston, MA 02116

Re: Priority Roadways Study Program, Medford Square, Medford, Massachusetts

Dear Mr. Abbott:

As President of the Medford City Council, I am writing to support Mayor Stephanie Burke's request that the Metropolitan Planning Organization's Central Transportation Planning Staff complete a traffic analysis of Medford Square, as part of its Priority Roadways Study Program (PRSP).

Traffic congestion and pedestrian safety have long been serious issues in Medford Square and have had a detrimental impact of economic vitality and quality of life in the area. Working with the City, the Metropolitan Area Planning Council has recently completed a Draft Master Plan for the Square and recommended that this area will greatly benefit from a comprehensive evaluation of traffic flow and patterns by CTPS. The analysis and understanding of the circulation within this area is key to moving forward with the transportation component of the Medford Square Master Plan. Creating a more efficient street network in this central location will benefit users of all modes of travel and encourage revitalization of the downtown core.

I respectfully request that you give the City's application every appropriate consideration.


The Commonweabth of Mlassachusetts
hOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
STATE HOUSE, BOSTON 02133-1054

CHRISTINE P. BARBER
STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Committees: Financial Services Housing

October 3, 2017

STATE HOUSE, ROOM $473 F$ TEL. (617) 722-2210
Christine.Barber@MAhouse.gov

Mark Abbott, Manager
Traffic Analysis and Design
Metropolitan Planning Organization, Central Transportation Planning Staff
10 Park Plaza, Suite 2150
Boston, MA 02116

Dear Mr. Abbott:

This letter is to express my support of the City of Medford's request that the Central Transportation Planning Staff (CTPS) include Medford Square in the Priority Roadways Study Program.

The City of Medford will be concluding a master planning process for its downtown, known as Medford Square, and the analysis of the circulation within this area will forward the goals included in the transportation component of the Medford Square Master Plan. This study area of this master plan encompasses the downtown and adjacent neighborhoods. The Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC) developed the Medford Square Master Plan in partnership with City of Medford staff and through extensive community input. MAPC recommends that Medford Square would greatly benefit from a comprehensive evaluation of traffic circulation. Creating a more efficient street network in this central location will benefit users of all modes of travel and has the potential to engender positive development in the heart of the City,

As a state legislator representing Medford, I support the inclusion of Medford Square in the MPO's work program. Conducting this analysis will further our goal for increased transportation connectivity within the region, enhancing the walkability and, ultimately, the livability of Downtown Medford.

If you have any questions please contact Lauren DiLorenzo, Director of the Office of Community Development at (781) 393-2480 or Idilorenzo@medford-ma.gov.
 $34^{\text {th }}$ Middlesex District

August 27, 2017
Mr. Mark Abbott, Manager
Traffic Analysis and Design
Metropolitan Planning Organization
Central Transportation Planning Staff
10 Park Plaza, Suite 2150
Boston, MA 02116

Dear Mr. Abbott:

I am writing to you to express support for the City of Medford's request that the Central Transportation Staff (CTPS) include Medford Square in the Priority Road Roadways Study Program.

Located at the confluence of interstate, regional and local routes, including I-93, Route 16 and Route 60, the City of Medford's downtown core has long been affected by serious traffic congestion and pedestrian safety issues. These conditions compromise public safety and the quality of life and economic vitality of the area.

Working with the City, the Metropolitan Area Planning Council is concluding a Master Planning process for Medford Square and has recommended that analysis of the circulation within this area will help forward the goals included in the transportation component of the plan. Creating a more efficient street network in this central location will benefit users of all modes of travel, improve safety and help engender positive development in the area.

Very truly yours,
 Chief of Police


## (The Commanduralth of flassachusetts

## MASSACHUSETTS SENATE

Senator Patricia D. Jehlen
Second Middlesex Disfrict
Medford, Somerville, Cambridge, and Winchester
State House, Room 424
Boston, MA 02133-1053
Tel: (617) 722-1578
FAX: (617) 722-1117
Patricia.Jehlen@MAsenategov
wiviv.MAsenate.gov

ASSISTANT MAJORITY LEADER
Chair
Joint Committee on Marijuana Policy and
Special Senate Subcommittee on Education
Vice Chair
Joint Committee on Education
Joint Committee on Labor and
Workforce Development

Mark Abbott, Manager, Traffic Analysis and Design, Metropolitan Planning Organization, Central Transportation Planning Staff
10 Park Plaza, Suite 2150,
Boston, MA 02116
September 26, 2017
Dear Mr. Abbott,

This letter is to express support of a request by the City of Medford that the Central Transportation Planning Staff (CTPS) include Medford Square in the Priority Roadways Study Program.

The City of Medford will be concluding a master planning process for its downtown, known as Medford Square, and the analysis of the circulation within this area will forward the goals included in the transportation component of the Medford Square Master Plan. This study area of this master plan includes encompasses the downtown and adjacent neighborhoods. The Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC) developed the Medford Square Master Plan in partnership with City of Medford staff and through extensive community input. MAPC recommends that Medford Square would greatly benefit from a comprehensive evaluation of traffic circulation. Creating a more efficient street network in this central location will benefit users of all modes of travel and has the potential to engender positive development in the heart of the City.

This letter is to support the inclusion of Medford Square in your work program. Conducting this analysis will further the goals of increasing transportation connectivity within the region and enhancing the walkability and, ultimately, the livability of Downtown Medford.

If you have any questions please contact Lauren DiLorenzo, Director of the Office of Community Development at (781) 393-2480 or Idilorenzo@medford-ma.gov.

Very truly yours,


